

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

je

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/635,351	08/05/2003	Kenneth E. Gall	H0004577	8898
7590 03/30/2005		EXAMINER		
Attorney, Intellectual Property			ALLEN, ANDRE J	
Honeywell International, Inc. 101 Columbia Rd			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2245			2855	
Morristown, NJ 07962			DATE MAILED: 03/30/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,351	GALL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Andre J. Allen	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1.15 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the apply and will expire SIX (6) Micause the application to become	nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De	cember 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant	ce except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· ·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) ☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be held in abey	ance. Seé 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in ty documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	. —	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_ ·	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2855

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hersey (US 3588395).

Regarding claims 1 and 11 Hersey teaches a base located proximate to a cover 13, a sensor element 33 40 located on said base 14 26, wherein said cover 13 and said base 14 26 form a clearance between said cover and said base (fig. 1); and a sensor diaphragm 51 and a dimple 54 formed from and incorporated inwardly into said cover 13, wherein said dimple is in intimate contact with said sensor element (fig. 1) at all pressure levels and temperatures thereof.

Art Unit: 2855

Regarding claims 2 and 12 Hersey teaches a pressure transducer sensor diaphragm 51.

Regarding claims 6 and 16 Hersey teaches a pressure sensor 10.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-10,13-15,17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hersey (US 3588395).

Art Unit: 2855

Regarding claims 3-5,7,10,13-15,17 and 20Hersey teaches all the basic features of the claimed invention but does not teach using quartz, ceramic or a SAW type sensor element. However, lacking any criticality it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art of pressure transducers at the time the invention was made to modify Hersey with ceramic or quartz since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a material on the basis of its suitability and intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. In this particular case it would have been obvious to select the most feasible material readily available to the manufacture without undo experimentation and trial/error for the purpose of creating a pressure transducer that operates at optimum performance for the desired application. With respect to the implementation of SAW sensors, the examiner takes official notice of the use of SAW sensors since SAW type sensors are of notorious character in the art of pressure sensing.

Regarding claims 8,9,18 and 19 Hersey does not explicitly show how the cover and base are bonded (welded or soldered) however, lacking any criticality it would have been obvious to one have ordinary skill in the art of manufacturing pressure sensors to use the most feasible

Art Unit: 2855

bonding technique readily available to the users through undue experimentation for the purposes of mating elements together.

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

André Allen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2855

MICHAEL CYGAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMPLER Page 6